

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			. •		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/888,462	07/07/1997	CURTIS R. SCHARF	2730-01	2198	
75	90 02/05/2002				
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR THE LUBIRZOL CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
	AND BOULEVARD		MCAVOY, ELLEN M		
WICKLIFFE, O	7F 440922296		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764	2<	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2002	من	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Δr	plication No.		Applicant(s)	A9-34
Office Action Summary			3/888,462 		SCHARF ET AL.	
	omeo notion Gammary		aminer		Art Unit	
	- The MAILING DATE of this commu		en M McAvoy	hoot with the c	1764	Idroop
Period for	Reply	ncauon appears	on the cover s	neet with the C	orrespondence ad	aress
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5 period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months is patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. 30) days, a reply within atutory period will app y will, by statute cause	In no event, howeven the statutory minimuly and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timum of thirty (30) days	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or	y. ommunication.
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b) This ac	tion is non-fina	1.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowance tice under <i>Ex p</i>	except for form arte Quayle, 19	nal matters, pr 935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)⊠ (Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-30 is/are pendin	g in the applica	tion.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn fro	om consideratio	on.		
5)□ (claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-30</u> is/are rejected	l.				
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 C	claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requireme	nt.		
Applicatio	n Papers					
9) 🗌 Th	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:					•
	Applicant may not request that any obj					
	e proposed drawing correction filed				ed by the Examine	r.
	If approved, corrected drawings are red			•		
	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er.			
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	fan fan de seite				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	for foreign prior	πy under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).	
	<u></u>	dooumanta have	. haan aasa:	.i		
					- N.	
	Copies of the certified copies					
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau <i>(</i>	PCT Rule 17.2	(a))		tage
	nowledgment is made of a claim fo					application).
a) [The translation of the foreign lang the translation of the foreign lange of a claim for the following the translations are the contract of the translations are the following the translations are the translations are the following the translations are the translations are transl	guage provision	al application h	nas been recei	ved.	·
Attachment(s)		•	,	00		
2) 🔲 Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)			PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 08/888,462

Art Unit: 1764

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 22 January 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/888,462 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-29 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tipton et al (4,594,378).

As set forth in previous office actions, Tipton et al ["Tipton"] teach polymeric compositions which exhibit improved shear stability in transmission and hydraulic fluids while maintaining high and low temperature viscosity characteristics. The polymeric compositions comprise a mixture of (A) at least one oil-soluble polymer, (B-1) at least one nitrogen-containing ester of a carboxy-containing interpolymer and/or (B-2) at least one oil-soluble acrylate polymerization product of at least one acrylate ester. The polymeric component (A) may comprise homopolymers prepared from C₃ -C₂₀ monoolefins such as butene and isobutene. See column 3, lines 4 et seq. Number average molecular weights (Mw) of such polymers range from about 500 to about 100,000, and are preferably in the range of about 750 to about 10,000. See column 2, lines 55-65 and the claims. The examiner maintains the position that independent



Art Unit: 1764

claims 1 and 13 which limit polymer component (A) to one having a Mw less than 50,000 still fails to distinguish this component over Tipton where a polymer having such a Mw is still encompassed. The acrylate polymerizeration product, component (B-2), may comprise polyacrylates (when X=H) and polymethacrylates (when X=CH₃) as set forth in col. 4, lines 25-30. Components (A) and (B-2) of Tipton clearly encompass component (A) of the instant claims which may comprise mixtures of polymer component and Tipton teaches that components (A) and (B-2) combined add to 0.2% to about 30% by weight in either a transmission fluid or a hydraulic fluid. This overlaps the range of 15-40% by weight of the instant claims, which has now amended in claim 1 to an amount of from 20% to about 40%. The polymeric compositions of the prior art may also comprise component (C), at least one low temperature viscosity-reducing liquid organic diluent such as naphthenic oil, alkylated aromatic oils and synthetic carboxylic acid ester oils. See column 18, line 13 to column 19, line 8. The diluent component of Tipton may be present in the composition in an amount of about 1% to about 35% by weight which encompasses the claimed range of about 10% to about 30% by weight for this component. This clearly encompasses fluidizing agent (B) of the instant claims.

The base oils used in preparing the transmission fluids and the hydraulic fluids of Tipton may comprise either natural oils or synthetic oils. Mineral lubricating oils are set forth as an example of a preferred natural oil. See column 23, lines 36 et. seq. Tipton also allows for the addition of conventional lubricant additives to the composition in conventional amounts and include detergent/dispersants, extreme pressure agents, anti-wear agents and oxidation inhibitors. See column 19, lines 24 to column 23, top. This clearly encompasses components (C), (D) and (E) of the instant claims. Thus, the examiner is still of the position that the composition of the instant claims is encompassed by the prior art to Tipton.



Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paboucek (5,217,636).

Paboucek discloses mineral base oil compositions into which is added a viscosity index improver and wear enhancer package which contains (a) 85 to 99.5% by weight of a low molecular weight ethylene-propylene copolymer, and (b) 0.5 to 15% by weight of an esterified alkenyl-vinyl polymer pour point depressant, resulting in 100% by weight total of (a) and (b), in 100 solvent neutral paraffinic oil as a diluent. The ethylene-propylene copolymers have molecular weights in the range of 6,000 to 12,000. See column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 63. Paboucek teaches that the finished oil mixture contains about 25-35% by weight of the ethylene-propylene copolymer (see abstract) and the mixture exhibits very high stability to permanent shear and little, if any, temporary shear and so maintains the viscosity required for proper wear protection. The examiner is of the position that the mineral oil composition of Paboucek clearly meets the limitations of claim 30.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2510. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on (703) 308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 08/888,462

Art Unit: 1764

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy February 5, 2002